1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	
3	IN THE MATTER OF: )
4	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION ) On Its Own Motion )
5	v )No. 06-0751 &
6	NORTH SHORE GAS COMPANY ) 07-0311 (cons.)
7	Reconciliation of revenues )
8	collected under gas adjustment ) charges with actual costs )
9	prudently incurred. )
	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION )
10	On Its Own Motion )
11	v )
12	NORTH SHORE GAS COMPANY )
13	Reconciliation of revenues )
14	<pre>collected under gas adjustment ) charges with actual costs )</pre>
15	prudently incurred. )
16	Chicago, Illinois
17	December 11, 2008
18	Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.
19	BEFORE:
20	MS. KATINA HALOULOS, Administrative Law Judge.
21	
22	

Τ	APPEARANCES:
2	
3 MS. LINDA M. BUELL 527 East Capitol Avenue 4 Springfield, Illinois 62705 appeared for Staff, telephonicall 5	
	Springfield, Illinois 62705
	appeared for Staff, telephonically,
6 MS. MARY KLYASHEFF 130 East Randolph Drive 7 Chicago, Illinois 60601 appeared for North Shore Gas Comp	
	Chicago, Illinois 60601
	appeared for North Bhore das company
9 MS. JULIE L. SODERNA 309 West Washington Boulevard, Suite 10 Chicago, Illinois 60606 appeared for Citizens Utility Boas	
	Chicago, Illinois 60606
	appeared for eferient outlie, board,
L2	MS. KAREN L. LUSSON Attorney General's Office
100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor	100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601
L4	appeared for the People of the State of Illinois.
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR
21	J ,

1	$\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X}$
2	Re- Re- By
3	Witnesses: Dir. Crx. dir. crx. Examiner
4	NONE
5	
6	
7	<u>E X H I B I T S</u>
8	NORTH SHORE In Evidence
9	Testimony of Christine Gregor
LO	and Richard Dobson 43
L1	STAFF'S
L2	Testimony of Dianna Hathhorn
L3	and Dennis L. Anderson 44
L4	CUB'S
L5	Testimony of Jerome D. Mierzwa 45
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	

- 1 JUDGE HALOULOS: Pursuant to the authority of
- 2 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Dockets 06-0751 and 07-0311, consolidated.
- 4 Illinois Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion
- 5 versus North Shore Gas Company. This is a
- 6 Reconciliation of Revenues collected under gas
- 7 adjustment charges with actual costs prudently
- 8 incurred.
- 9 Could the parties please state their
- 10 name for the record.
- 11 MS. KLYASHEFF: Appearing for North Shore Gas
- 12 Company, Mary Klyasheff, 130 East Randolph Drive,
- 13 Chicago, 60601.
- 14 MS. SODERNA: Appearing on behalf of the
- 15 Citizens Utility Board, Julie Soderna, 309 West
- 16 Washington, Suite 800, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 17 MS. LUSSON: Appearing on behalf of the People
- 18 of the State of Illinois, Karen Lusson, 100 West
- 19 Randolph, 11th Floor, Chicago, 60601.
- 20 MS. BUELL: Appearing on behalf of Staff
- 21 witnesses of the Illinois Commerce Commission,
- 22 Linda M. Buell, 527 East Capitol Avenue,

- 1 Springfield, Illinois 62701.
- JUDGE HALOULOS: Does the parties have --
- 3 MS. KLYASHEFF: The Company's understanding is
- 4 that there is no cross-examination for any of the
- 5 witnesses.
- 6 JUDGE HALOULOS: Is that correct?
- 7 MS. SODERNA: That's correct.
- 8 MS. BUELL: There's no cross-examination from
- 9 Staff, your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE HALOULOS: Is there anything to be entered
- 11 into the record?
- MS. KLYASHEFF: The Company has testimony and
- 13 exhibits for two witnesses.
- 14 JUDGE HALOULOS: Who are those witnesses?
- MS. KLYASHEFF: Christine Gregor and Richard
- 16 Dobson.
- 17 JUDGE HALOULOS: And you would like to enter
- 18 their testimony into the record?
- 19 MS. KLYASHEFF: Yes, your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE HALOULOS: Does anybody have any objection
- 21 to that?
- MS. SODERNA: No objection.

- 1 MS. LUSSON: No.
- JUDGE HALOULOS: Let the record reflect that the
- 3 testimony of Christine Gregor and Richard Dobson is
- 4 entered into the record.
- 5 (Whereupon, North Shore's
- 6 Testimony of Christine
- 7 Gregor and Richard Dobson was
- 8 entered into the record.)
- 9 MS. BUELL: Your Honor, Staff has, also, two
- 10 witnesses to provide their prefiled testimony that
- 11 it would like to enter into the evidentiary record.
- 12 JUDGE HALOULOS: And who are those witnesses?
- MS. BUELL: We would like to offer into the
- 14 record the direct testimony of Dianna Hathhorn and
- 15 her affidavit, and the direct and rebuttal testimony
- 16 and affidavit of Dennis L. Anderson.
- 17 JUDGE HALOULOS: Does anybody have any
- 18 objection?
- 19 MS. LUSSON: No.
- 20 MS. SODERNA: No.
- 21 JUDGE HALOULOS: Let the record reflect that the
- 22 direct testimony of Dianna Hathhorn and Dennis

- 1 Anderson is entered into the record.
- MS. BUELL: And the rebuttal testimony, your
- 3 Honor.
- 4 JUDGE HALOULOS: And the rebuttal testimony of
- 5 Mr. Anderson.
- 6 MS. BUELL: Thank you.
- 7 (Whereupon, Staff's Testimony
- 8 of Dianna Hathhorn and Dennis
- 9 Anderson was entered into
- 10 the record.)
- 11 JUDGE HALOULOS: And going forward?
- 12 MS. SODERNA: I'm sorry. And just to note for
- 13 the record, CUB this morning filed the Affidavit of
- 14 Jerome D. Mierzwa, that's M-i-e-r-z-w-a, for his
- 15 direct and rebuttal testimony in this proceeding.
- 16 Should I move for the admission of
- 17 those affidavits and exhibits?
- 18 JUDGE HALOULOS: This is for Mierzwa, right?
- 19 MS. SODERNA: Right.
- 20 JUDGE HALOULOS: Yes.
- 21 MS. SODERNA: I move for the admission.
- JUDGE HALOULOS: Is there any objection?

- 1 MS. KLYASHEFF: No.
- 2 MS. BUELL: No objection from Staff.
- JUDGE HALOULOS: Okay. Let the record reflect
- 4 that the direct and rebuttal testimony of Jerome D.
- 5 Mierzwa is entered into the record.
- 6 (Whereupon, Cub's Testimony
- 7 of Jerome D. Mierzwa was
- 8 entered into the record.)
- 9 JUDGE HALOULOS: Is there anything further?
- 10 MS. KLYASHEFF: Prior to the hearing the Company
- 11 and Staff and the parties had some e-mails and
- 12 discussions concerning the next steps in this
- 13 matter.
- 14 I believe there are no contested
- 15 issues in the case. And the Company was going to
- 16 propose that it prepare a draft order for Staff and
- 17 the parties' consideration. And it is our hope that
- 18 we would have an agreed form of order that we could
- 19 file for your Honor's consideration.
- 20 JUDGE HALOULOS: Any dates?
- 21 MS. KLYASHEFF: I would commit to circulate to
- 22 the parties and Staff a proposed order by Friday,

- 1 December 19th. I do not know that we've really
- 2 discussed how quickly we could all turn that around
- 3 and get something on file.
- 4 JUDGE HALOULOS: I just wanted to have an idea.
- 5 Anything else?
- 6 MS. BUELL: Mary, do you think that we could say
- 7 that the draft proposed order would be filed by the
- 8 end of January, do you think that would be fair?
- 9 MS. KLYASHEFF: I certainly think that should be
- 10 feasible.
- 11 MS. SODERNA: I think that would be plenty of
- 12 time.
- MS. LUSSON: Yes.
- MR. JOLLY: I guess -- although the City is not
- 15 involved in this, I thought that given that this is
- 16 taken with the Peoples Gas case, as long as the
- 17 draft order is in by the time you have, you know,
- 18 the reply briefs in in the other case, you know.
- 19 Just throwing that out there.
- 20 JUDGE HALOULOS: Okay.
- 21 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 22 matter was continued sine die.)